25

26

The defense team streams into this case a motion for sanctions every time the State

discloses relevant and material evidence. The theme is always the same. The State's

## Office of the Yavapai County Attorney AZ 86301

771-3110 Facsimile: (928) 771-3344 Phone: (928) 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

disclosure is untimely therefore prejudicial to their client. The evidence is prejudicial because it establishes a motive and puts Defendant at the scene of the murder with the murder weapon in his hands. The State's disclosure has been timely disclosed under Rule 15.1 and specific orders of this Court.

Pursuant to Rule 15.6, the State has been making seasonal disclosure of new or different information on a case this Court early on determined to be a complex case. Additional scientific testing has been on-going in order to ensure that every possible avenue has been explored in the State's attempt to resolve all issues. No authority in Arizona exists that the State's preparation for trial should cease at any given moment before the trial.

Defendant, in pleading after pleading, cites this Court's minute entry dated June 3, 2009, as a final disclosure deadline and argues that all of the State's subsequent disclosures violates that order. Sine Defendant pounds this issue ad nauseum, the State once again points out that this Court ordered the State to disclose everything within its possession on that date to the defense by June 22, 2009. The Court, in clarifying its Order, cited State ex rel. Thomas v. Newell (Milagro), 221 Ariz. 112, 210 P.3d 1283 (App.2009), affirming that the June 22<sup>nd</sup> deadline "pertains to information in the State's possession, not to testing or analysis resports which have not yet been concluded and/or produced."

## **CONCLUSION**

Defendant's Motion is little more than a restatement of all the other motions to preclude or exclude witnesses and evidence that have been filed in this case. Once again, Defendant's unrelenting and overstated complaints regarding the State's alleged failure to comply with Rule 15 must be put into the proper context.

## Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301

26

///

Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

Phone: (928) 771-3344

1

2

The Yavapai County Attorney's Office undertakes its role in the criminal justice system with the utmost professionalism. This Court is fully aware that the prosecutors in Yavapai County are dedicated to the vigorous, expeditious and fair administration of the criminal law to protect the public and to insure that justice is done.

The State asks the Court to label the defense tactic as nothing more than a red herring. The defense team keeps beating a dead horse and the State respectfully mover this Court to deny the Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 15.7.

By:2

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of March, 2010

Sheila Sullivan Polk

YAVÁPÁI COUNTY ATTORNEY

Joseph C. Butner

Deputy County Attorney

26

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney

- 4 -